Case 3:16-cv-04710-RS Document 44 Filed 11/08/16 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6	STEVEN M. SCHATZ, State Bar No. 118356 KATHERINE L. HENDERSON, State Bar No. 242 DIANE M. WALTERS, State Bar No. 148136 MICHAEL PETROCELLI, State Bar No. 269460 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811 Email: sschatz@wsgr.com	2676
7 8	Email: khenderson@wsgr.com Email: dwalters@wsgr.com Email: mpetrocelli@wsgr.com	
9 10 11	Attorneys for Defendants SUNPOWER CORPORATION. THOMAS H. WERNER, and CHARLES D. BOYNTON	
12	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
14		
15	KENNETH BRISTOW, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,) CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-04710-RS
16 17 18	Plaintiff, v. SUNPOWER CORPORATION, THOMAS H. WERNER, and CHARLES D. BOYNTON,	 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCES AND EXTENDING DEFENDANTS' TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS
20	Defendants.)) (Civ. L.R. 3-12)
21	2020100000))))
22 23	JAY PATEL, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,) CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-04915-RS
24	Plaintiff,))
25	v.))
26	SUNPOWER CORPORATION, THOMAS H. WERNER, and CHARLES D. BOYNTON,)))
27 28	Defendants.)) .)
	STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF CMCS AND	

EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS CASE NOS. 16-CV-04710-RS, 16-CV-04915-RS

Case 3:16-cv-04710-RS Document 44 Filed 11/08/16 Page 2 of 6

1	WHEREAS, the complaints in the above-captioned related shareholder class actions set
2	forth claims under the federal securities laws that are subject to the requirements of the Private
3	Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 ("PSLRA"), including those set forth in 15 U.S.C.
4	§ 78u-4;
5	WHEREAS, the PSLRA requires that, after filing a securities class action, the plaintiff
6	must give notice of the action to allow other interested shareholders the opportunity to file
7	motions for appointment as lead plaintiff (see 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(3)) and, thereafter, that the
8	Court appoint a lead plaintiff;
9	WHEREAS, on October 17, 2016, multiple movants filed competing motions seeking to
10	be appointed lead plaintiff and to consolidate the <i>Bristow</i> and <i>Patel</i> actions (Dkt. Nos. 13, 17, 18,
11	22, 26);
12	WHEREAS, the hearing regarding the lead plaintiff motions is scheduled for December
13	8, 2016;
14	WHEREAS, pursuant to the August 18, 2016 Order Setting Initial Case Management
15	Conference in the <i>Bristow</i> action, a Case Management Conference was scheduled for November
16	17, 2016 and subsequently continued to November 18, 2016 pursuant to the Clerk's Notice
17	issued November 1, 2016;
18	WHEREAS, prior to reassignment to this Court, a Case Management Conference in the
19	Patel action was set for November 29, 2016;
20	WHEREAS, to avoid unnecessary expenditure of judicial resources or effort by the
21	parties and the Court, counsel for plaintiffs in the <i>Bristow</i> and <i>Patel</i> actions and defendants have
22	agreed (1) that defendants need not respond to the <i>Bristow</i> and <i>Patel</i> complaints or the complaint
23	in any action consolidated into this action, other than an amended or consolidated complaint or a
24	complaint designated as the operative complaint after the appointment of lead plaintiff; and (2) to
25	continue the Initial Case Management Conferences in the Bristow and Patel actions and
26	associated deadlines until after a lead plaintiff has been appointed to represent the alleged class.
27	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among
28	counsel for the undersigned parties, subject to approval of the Court, as follows:

Case 3:16-cv-04710-RS Document 44 Filed 11/08/16 Page 3 of 6

1	1. Defendants are not required to respond to the <i>Bristow</i> and <i>Patel</i> complaints or the	he
2	complaint in any action consolidated into this action, other than an amended or consolidated	
3	complaint or a complaint designated as the operative complaint after the appointment of lead	
4	plaintiff.	
5	2. The Initial Case Management Conferences ("CMC") in the <i>Bristow</i> and <i>Patel</i>	
6	actions, currently scheduled for November 18, 2016, and November 29, 2016, respectively, and	d
7	the associated CMC and ADR deadlines in the <i>Bristow</i> and <i>Patel</i> actions, shall be vacated and	
8	are hereby adjourned to such other date and time as this Court shall order.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF CMCs AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS CASE NOS. 16-CV-04710-RS, 16-CV-04915-RS

Case 3:16-cv-04710-RS Document 44 Filed 11/08/16 Page 4 of 6

1 2	Dated: November 2, 2016	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation
3		By: /s/Steven M. Schatz
4		By: /s/Steven M. Schatz Steven M. Schatz
5		650 Page Mill Road
6		Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
7		Facsimile: (650) 493-6811 Email: sschatz@wsgr.com
8		Attorneys for Defendants
9		
10	Dated: November 2, 2016	GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP
11		By: /s/ Lesley F. Portnoy
12		Lesley F. Portnoy
13 14		Lionel Z. Glancy Robert V. Prongay Lesley F. Portnoy
15		Charles H. Linehan 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100
16		Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150
17		Facsimile: (310) 201-9160 Email: lportnoy@glancylaw.com
18		LAW OFFICES OF HOWARD G. SMITH
19		Howard G. Smith 3070 Bristol Pike, Suite 112 Bensalem, PA 19020
20		Telephone: (215) 638-4847 Facsimile: (215) 638-4867
21		Attorneys for Plaintiff Kenneth Bristow
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
2728		
20		

Case 3:16-cv-04710-RS Document 44 Filed 11/08/16 Page 5 of 6

1	Dated: November 2, 2016	POMERANTZ LLP
2		Dry /s/ I. Alayandar Haad, H
3		By: <u>/s/ J. Alexander Hood, II</u> J. Alexander Hood II, <i>admitted pro hac vice</i>
4		Jeremy A. Lieberman J. Alexander Hood II
5		600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor New York, New York 10016
6		Telephone: (212) 661-1100 Facsimile: (212) 661-8665
7		Email: ahood@pomlaw.com
8		POMERANTZ LLP Jennifer Pafiti
9		468 North Camden Drive Beverly Hills, CA 90210
10		Telephone: (818) 532-6499
11		Attorneys for Plaintiff Jay Patel
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE OF CMCs AND EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINTS CASE NOS. 16-CV-04710-RS, 16-CV-04915-RS

Case 3:16-cv-04710-RS Document 44 Filed 11/08/16 Page 6 of 6

1	1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO OPDERED.	
2	2 DATED: 11/8/16	
3	The Honorable Richard Seebd United States District Judge	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
22	22 23	
24		
25		
	26	
27	27	

28